

158

By Regd. post with Ack-De

FA No.26/2015

**BEFORE THE REGISTRAR OF CO-OPERATIVE SOCIETIES-CUM-FIRST APPELLATE AUTHORITY UNDER THE RIGHT TO INFORMATION ACT, 2005**

**FA/26/2015**

M. Premadasan  
No. 7-8, Moovendar Street  
Mullai Nagar  
Puducherry - 605 005

>>>>

Appellant

vs.

The Public Information Officer  
Puducherry Co-operative Wholesale Stores  
Ltd., No. P. 44  
No. 288, Mahatma Gandhi Road  
Puducherry - 605 001.

>>>>

Respondent

**ORDER**

*(Issued under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005)*

The interference sought by the appellant, through filing this first appeal under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005, is to redress his grievance over the denial of information to a part of his application addressed to the respondent.

2. Before demarcating the disputed arena over the respondent's alleged denial of divulgence of information, a bird eye's view over the factual scenario is to be adverted first. The appellant/applicant, vide his application dated 11.9.2015, requested information in respect of seven questions, of which question No. 5 contained three sub-questions, revolving around the employees of the Pondicherry Co-operative Wholesale Stores Ltd., No. P. 44 [for brief the 'stores'] and the commercial transaction between the stores and some private dealers. The respondent provided information through letter dated 5.10.2015 to all the queries except to question Nos. 5 and ~~7~~ for which he took shelter under Section 8 (1) of the Act.

3. It is, therefore, imperative to delineate these two queries and the reply furnished by the respondent. In question No. 5, the appellant sought to know (i) from when on the respondent purchases cycle brand and Sridevi brand toor dhall, name of the dealers, their addresses and the rate quoted by them; and (ii) the name of the dealer, his address and rate quoted by him per kilo gram



